

## ∞-TOPOI – III

G. RAPTIS

### 1. CANONICAL TOPOLOGIES (IS EVERY ∞-TOPOS AN ∞-CATEGORY OF SHEAVES?)

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an  $\infty$ -topos. We can choose a regular cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\kappa$ -presentable and the full subcategory of  $\kappa$ -compact objects  $\mathcal{X}^\kappa$  is closed under finite limits. Then the inclusion functor  $f: \mathcal{X}^\kappa \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  extends to a left exact accessible localization  $F: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}^\kappa) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  (see [3, Proposition 6.1.5.2]).

We may consider the largest Grothendieck topology on  $\mathcal{X}^\kappa$  which is compatible with this localization. More specifically, we say that a sieve  $U \rightarrow j(C)$  on  $C \in \mathcal{X}^\kappa$  is a covering sieve if  $F(U) \rightarrow F(j(C))$  is an equivalence in  $\mathcal{X}$ . This defines a Grothendieck topology  $\tau_f$  on  $\mathcal{X}^\kappa$  – it is an example of a **canonical topology** which can be defined more generally for any left exact functor  $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  (see [3, 6.2.4]). Then the functor  $F$  descends to a left exact accessible localization on the  $\infty$ -category of sheaves [3, Proposition 6.2.4.6]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}^\kappa) & & \\ \downarrow L & \searrow F & \\ \mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{X}^\kappa, \tau_f) & \xrightarrow{\bar{F}} & \mathcal{X}. \end{array}$$

Moreover,  $\bar{F}$  has the property that its right adjoint preserves effective epimorphisms. Since  $\bar{F}$  is left exact, it induces a functor on the full (reflective) subcategories of  $k$ -truncated objects, for  $-1 \leq k < \infty$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}^\kappa)_{\leq k} \rightleftarrows \mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{X}^\kappa, \tau_f)_{\leq k} \xrightarrow{\bar{F}_{\leq k}} \mathcal{X}_{\leq k}.$$

(We recall that a presheaf  $X \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$  is  $k$ -truncated if and only if its values are  $k$ -truncated spaces. See [3, 5.5.6].)

**Claim 1.** The induced functor  $\bar{F}_{\leq k}$  is an equivalence.

To prove this, it suffices to show that  $\bar{F}_{\leq k}$  detects equivalences. More generally, we claim that if a morphism  $u: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{X}^\kappa, \tau_f)$  is  $l$ -truncated<sup>1</sup> and  $\bar{F}(u)$  is an equivalence, then  $u$  is an equivalence. We prove this claim by induction.

For  $l = -1$  (i.e., when  $u$  is a monomorphism), this holds as consequence of the density of the Yoneda embedding, the fact that colimits are universal, and the definition of the Grothendieck topology  $\tau_f$ . Indeed, these ensure that we can write any monomorphism  $u: X \rightarrow Y$  as a colimit of monomorphisms whose codomains come from representable presheaves and which are obtained as pullbacks of  $u$ .

<sup>1</sup>This means that the homotopy fibers of  $\mathrm{map}(Z, X) \xrightarrow{u \circ -} \mathrm{map}(Z, Y)$  are  $l$ -truncated spaces for every  $Z$  in  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{X}^\kappa, \tau_f)$ .

For the inductive step, note first that  $u$  is  $l$ -truncated if and only if the associated diagonal morphism  $\Delta(u): X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$  is  $(l-1)$ -truncated. If  $\overline{F}(u)$  is an equivalence, then  $\overline{F}(\Delta(u))$  is also an equivalence because  $\overline{F}$  is left exact. Hence, by induction,  $\Delta(u): X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$  is an equivalence, that is,  $u$  is a monomorphism. As explained above, it follows that  $u$  is an equivalence which then completes the proof of Claim 1.

The same argument shows that any left exact localization of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})_{\leq k}$  (for  $k < \infty$ ) is topological. See [3, Proposition 6.4.1.6].

## 2. $n$ -TOPOI

The  $\infty$ -category of  $(n-1)$ -truncated objects in an  $\infty$ -topos is an  $n$ -**topos** – this can be used as a definition. Similarly to  $\infty$ -topoi, there are several equivalent characterizations of  $n$ -topoi for  $0 \leq n < \infty$  [3, Theorem 6.4.1.5]. More specifically, a presentable  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{X}$  is an  $n$ -topos if it satisfies any of the following equivalent statements:

- (1) There exists a small  $n$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  which admits finite limits, a Grothendieck topology on  $\mathcal{C}$ , and an equivalence of  $\mathcal{X}$  with the full subcategory of  $(n-1)$ -truncated objects in  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$ .
- (2) There exists a small  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$  and a left exact localization
 
$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})_{\leq n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}.$$
- (3) Colimits in  $\mathcal{X}$  are universal,  $\mathcal{X}$  is equivalent to an  $n$ -category, and the class of  $(n-2)$ -truncated morphisms in  $\mathcal{X}$  is local.
- (4)  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies the following axioms:
  - (i)  $\mathcal{X}$  is equivalent to a presentable  $n$ -category.
  - (ii) Colimits in  $\mathcal{X}$  are universal.
  - (iii) If  $n > 0$ , then coproducts in  $\mathcal{X}$  are disjoint.
  - (iv) Every  $n$ -efficient groupoid object in  $\mathcal{X}$  is effective.

See [3, Theorem 6.4.1.5].

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): This follows easily from the fact that a left exact localization of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})_{\leq n-1}$  is topological (and therefore also accessible) – see the proof of Claim 1 that was sketched above.

A class  $S$  of morphisms in  $\mathcal{X}$  is called **local** if it is closed under pullbacks and satisfies the descent property (D2) with respect to cartesian transformations whose components are in  $S$ . Equivalently,  $S$  is local if it is closed under coproducts and the pushout of a cartesian transformations in  $\mathcal{X}^\ulcorner$  whose components are in  $S$  defines a cartesian transformation in  $\mathcal{X}^\square$  whose components are in  $S$  (see [3, Definition 6.1.3.8]).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Colimits in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})_{\leq n-1}$  are universal because colimits in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$  are universal and the truncation functor  $\tau_{\leq n-1}: \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})_{\leq n-1}$  preserves colimits. It follows that colimits in  $\mathcal{X}$  are universal because this property is preserved under left exact localizations. Clearly,  $\mathcal{X}$  is also equivalent to an  $n$ -category.

It remains to prove that the class of  $(n-2)$ -truncated morphisms in  $\mathcal{X}$  is local. Using (2) and standard arguments based on the properties of left exact left adjoints, we may reduce to the case  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{S}_{\leq n-1}$  (where  $\mathcal{S}$  denotes the  $\infty$ -topos of spaces). Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is an  $\infty$ -topos, the class of all morphisms is local. Moreover, by considering the connectivity of the fibers, it is easy to see that the class of  $(n-2)$ -truncated

maps in  $\mathcal{S}$  is also local. Then the required result follows from the key observation that applying  $\tau_{\leq n-1}$  to an  $(n-2)$ -truncated map in  $\mathcal{S}$  does not affect the fibers of the map. (This observation also explains why we cannot expect that  $n$ -topoi satisfy the same descent properties as  $\infty$ -topoi – or for that matter,  $m$ -topoi for any  $m > n$ .)

A groupoid object  $U_\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is called  **$n$ -efficient** if the natural morphism  $U_1 \rightarrow U_0 \times U_0$  is  $(n-2)$ -truncated – note that this morphism is always  $(n-1)$ -truncated if  $\mathcal{X}$  is equivalent to an  $n$ -category.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): (i) and (ii) hold by assumption. The proof of (iii) is similar to one for  $\infty$ -topoi. Let  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}$ . The morphism  $i: \emptyset \rightarrow X$  is  $(n-2)$ -truncated if  $n > 0$ . Consider the pushout in  $\mathcal{X}^\Gamma$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{id}_\emptyset & \longrightarrow & \text{id}_Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ i & \longrightarrow & j \end{array}$$

and then apply (3) to conclude that this is a cartesian transformation of  $(n-2)$ -truncated morphisms. Thus, the bottom arrow in the pushout is a pullback square.

(iv): Let  $U_\bullet$  be an  $n$ -efficient groupoid object and let  $U_\bullet^+$  be the associated augmented simplicial object given by the colimit. Let  $V_\bullet^+$  be the shifted augmented simplicial object associated to  $U_\bullet$  with  $V_n^+ = U_{n+1}$ . The augmented simplicial object  $V_\bullet^+$  (‘d ecalage’) is a colimit diagram [3, Lemma 6.1.3.17]. Let  $cU_0$  denote the constant augmented simplicial object at  $U_0$ . There is a canonical morphism of augmented simplicial objects

$$\alpha: V_\bullet^+ \rightarrow U_\bullet^+ \times cU_0.$$

Note that the component of  $\alpha$ ,  $U_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{[n]}} U_n \times U_0$ , is a pullback of the canonical morphism  $U_1 \rightarrow U_0 \times U_0$ . Since  $U_\bullet$  is an  $n$ -efficient groupoid, it follows that  $\alpha_{|N(\Delta^{\text{op}})}$  is a cartesian transformation whose components are  $(n-2)$ -truncated. Using (3), we conclude that the square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_0 = U_1 & \longrightarrow & V_{-1} = U_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U_0 \times U_0 & \longrightarrow & U_{-1}^+ \times U_0 \end{array}$$

is a pullback, which clearly implies that  $U_\bullet$  is effective.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), (2): The proof is similar to the proof of the corresponding statement for  $\infty$ -topoi. See [3, Proposition 6.4.3.6].

**Remark 2.** ( $m$ -localic topoi) Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an  $n$ -topos. We have seen that there is an equivalence  $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})_{\leq n-1} \simeq \mathcal{X}$  where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a small  $n$ -category with finite limits equipped with a Grothendieck topology. Using these properties of  $\mathcal{C}$ , it is possible to show that the restriction functor induces an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories:

$$(1) \quad \text{LFun}^{\text{lex}}(\text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})_{\leq k-1}, \mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{LFun}^{\text{lex}}(\text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})_{\leq n-1}, \mathcal{Y}_{\leq n-1})$$

for every  $k$ -topos  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $k \geq n$ . Here  $\text{LFun}^{\text{lex}}$  denotes the  $\infty$ -category of left exact colimit-preserving functors. More generally, a  $k$ -topos (e.g.,  $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})_{\leq k-1}$ ) is called  **$n$ -localic** when (1) is an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories for any  $k$ -topos  $\mathcal{Y}$ . For

example, the equivalence (1) says that every  $n$ -topos is equivalent to the subcategory of  $(n-1)$ -truncated objects in an  $n$ -localic  $k$ -topos for any  $k \geq n$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is (the nerve of) an ordinary category with admits finite limits and is equipped with a Grothendieck topology, then the  $\infty$ -topos of sheaves  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$  is 1-localic. This means that for any  $\infty$ -topos  $\mathcal{Y}$ , we have an equivalence

$$\mathrm{LFun}^{\mathrm{lex}}(\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Y}) \simeq \mathrm{LFun}^{\mathrm{lex}}(\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C})_{\leq 0}, \mathcal{Y}_{\leq 0})$$

where  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C})_{\leq 0}$  is the ordinary Grothendieck topos associated to the (ordinary) site  $\mathcal{C}$ . See also [3, 6.4.5].

### 3. HOMOTOPY GROUPS AND $\infty$ -CONNECTEDNESS

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an  $\infty$ -topos and  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ . There is an object  $X^{S^n}$  together with a morphism  $e : X^{S^n} \rightarrow X$  given by “evaluation” at the basepoint of  $S^n$ . The object  $X^{S^n}$  is specified by the property that there is a natural equivalence for any  $Y \in \mathcal{X}$ :

$$\mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{X}}(Y, X^{S^n}) \simeq \mathrm{map}(S^n, \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{X}}(Y, X)).$$

The  $n$ -th homotopy group  $\pi_n(X)$  of  $X$  is the 0-truncation  $\tau_{\leq 0}(X^{S^n} \xrightarrow{e} X)$  in the  $\infty$ -topos  $\mathcal{X}/_X$ . This is a group object if  $n > 0$ , and an abelian group object if  $n > 1$ . Homotopy groups are preserved along left exact left adjoints (**geometric morphisms**) because these preserve truncated objects. For example, if  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{S}$  and  $x : * \rightarrow X$  is a basepoint, then  $x^*(\pi_n(X)) \in \mathcal{S}_{\leq 0}$  is the usual  $n$ -th homotopy group  $\pi_n(X, x)$ . (Here  $x^*$  denotes the left adjoint of the induced geometric morphism  $x^* : \mathcal{S}/_X \rightleftarrows \mathcal{S} : x_*$ .)

More generally, we define the homotopy sets  $\pi_n(f) \in (\mathcal{X}/_X)_{\leq 0}$  of a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  as the homotopy sets of the object  $(f : X \rightarrow Y) \in \mathcal{X}/_Y$ . More explicitly, we have a canonical identification:

$$\pi_n(f) \simeq \tau_{\leq 0}(X^{S^n} \times_{Y^{S^n}} Y \rightarrow X) \in (\mathcal{X}/_X)_{\leq 0}.$$

(We have used the canonical equivalence  $\mathcal{X}/_f \simeq \mathcal{X}/_X$  to view  $\pi_n(f)$  as a discrete object in  $\mathcal{X}/_X$ .) These homotopy sets are again groups if  $n > 0$ , and abelian groups if  $n > 1$ . Intuitively,  $\pi_n(f)$  corresponds to the  $n$ -th homotopy groups of the fibers of  $f$ . These homotopy groups have similar properties as in the classical context of topological spaces, such as, for example, the existence of long exact sequences of homotopy groups – these properties can be shown either by similar arguments internally to  $\mathcal{X}$ , or by reduction to the basic case  $\mathcal{S}$ . For  $n > 0$ , it is easy to check that we have a canonical isomorphism:

$$(2) \quad \pi_n(f) \simeq \pi_{n-1}(\Delta(f))$$

where  $\Delta(f) : X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$  is the associated diagonal morphism. See also [3, 6.5.1] and [4, Sections 8–9] for more details and further results.

If an object  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  is  $n$ -truncated, then  $\pi_k(X) \simeq *$  for all  $k > n$ . Moreover, if  $n \geq 0$  and  $\pi_n(X) \simeq *$ , then  $X$  is  $(n-1)$ -truncated. More generally, if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $n$ -truncated, then  $\pi_k(f) \simeq *$  for all  $k > n$ . In addition, if  $n \geq 0$  and  $\pi_n(f) \simeq *$ , then  $f$  is  $(n-1)$ -truncated. These claims are shown by induction using (2). Thus, the homotopy groups of  $f$  can tell whether  $f$  is  $k$ -truncated as long as we know that  $f$  is  $n$ -truncated for some arbitrarily large  $n$ . See [3, Proposition 6.5.1.7].

A morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is  **$n$ -connective**,  $0 \leq n \leq \infty$ , if it is an effective epimorphism and  $\pi_k(f) \simeq *$  for  $0 \leq k < n$ . A morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $n$ -connective if and only if the associated diagonal morphism  $X \rightarrow X \times_Y X$  is  $(n-1)$ -connective and  $f$  is an effective epimorphism – this follows from the identification in 2.  $n$ -connective morphisms are preserved under left exact left adjoints because these are compatible with truncation functors. We also have the following important characterization of  $n$ -connective morphisms.

**Proposition 3.** *A morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $n$ -connective if and only if its  $n$ -truncation in  $\mathcal{X}_{/Y}$  is equivalent to the final object ( $Y \xrightarrow{\text{id}_Y} Y$ ).*

*Proof.* See [3, Proposition 6.5.1.12] or [4, Proposition 9.8]. □

See [3, 6.5.1.12–6.5.1.20] and [4, Sections 8–9] for more results about  $n$ -connective morphisms which generalize classical results about  $n$ -connected maps in  $\mathcal{S}$  to the general context of an  $\infty$ -topos.

**Example 4.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $n$ -truncated  $\infty$ -connective morphism. As explained above, it follows that  $f$  is  $(-1)$ -truncated (= monomorphism). Therefore  $f$  is an equivalence.

**Proposition 5.** *A morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\infty$ -connective if and only if  $\tau_{\leq n}(f)$  is an equivalence for every  $n \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* See [4, Section 8 and the proof of Proposition 10.3]. It suffices to show that the canonical morphism  $p_n : X \rightarrow \tau_{\leq n}X$  is  $n$ -connective. To see this, we verify that the object  $(\tau_{\leq n}X \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \tau_{\leq n}X)$  is the  $n$ -truncation of  $p_n$  in  $\mathcal{X}_{/\tau_{\leq n}X}$  and then use the characterization of  $n$ -connective maps in Proposition 3. Let  $(f : Z \rightarrow \tau_{\leq n}X)$  be an  $n$ -truncated object in  $\mathcal{X}_{/\tau_{\leq n}X}$ . Since  $\tau_{\leq n}X$  is  $n$ -truncated in  $\mathcal{X}$ , it follows that  $Z$  is also  $n$ -truncated in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Therefore we have a diagram of mapping spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{map}(\tau_{\leq n}X, Z) & \xrightarrow{p_n^*} & \text{map}(X, Z) \\ f_* \downarrow & & \downarrow f_* \\ \text{map}(\tau_{\leq n}X, \tau_{\leq n}X) & \xrightarrow{p_n^*} & \text{map}(X, \tau_{\leq n}X) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are equivalences. We obtain the required equivalence by passing to the vertical homotopy fibers over the point  $(\tau_{\leq n}X \xrightarrow{\text{id}} \tau_{\leq n}X)$ . □

**Proposition 6.** *The class  $\mathcal{W}_\infty$  of  $\infty$ -connective morphisms in  $\mathcal{X}$  is a strongly saturated class generated by a set of morphisms. In addition,  $\mathcal{W}_\infty$  is closed under pullbacks.*

*Proof.* See [3, Proposition 6.5.2.8] and [4, Proposition 10.3].  $\mathcal{W}_\infty$  is strongly saturated as a consequence of Proposition 5. The main idea for proving that  $\mathcal{W}_\infty$  is of small generation is to show that for every  $n \geq 0$ , the class of  $n$ -connective maps is specified by accessible conditions – alternatively, the accessibility of  $\mathcal{W}_\infty$  can be deduced directly from Proposition 5 since  $\mathcal{W}_\infty$  can be expressed as the class of morphisms which become equivalences after applying  $\tau_{\leq n}$  for every  $n \geq 0$ .

The last claim is a consequence of the properties of homotopy groups/truncation functors with respect to pullbacks, see [3, Proposition 6.5.1.16(6)] and [4, Proposition 8.4]. □

## 4. HYPERCOMPLETENESS

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an  $\infty$ -topos. An object  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  is **hypercomplete** if it is local with respect to the class  $\mathcal{W}_\infty$  of  $\infty$ -connective morphisms in  $\mathcal{X}$ . As a consequence of Proposition 6, the full subcategory of hypercomplete objects  $\mathcal{X}^\wedge \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  defines a left exact accessible localization of  $\mathcal{X}$  (**hypercompletion of  $\mathcal{X}$** ):

$$L: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^\wedge.$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{X}^\wedge$  is again an  $\infty$ -topos.  $\mathcal{X}$  is **hypercomplete** if  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}^\wedge$ . The  $\infty$ -topos  $\mathcal{X}^\wedge$  is hypercomplete [3, Lemma 6.5.2.12] and it is characterized by the following universal property: for every hypercomplete  $\infty$ -topos  $\mathcal{Y}$ , the restriction functor

$$\mathrm{LFun}^{\mathrm{lex}}(\mathcal{X}^\wedge, \mathcal{Y}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{LFun}^{\mathrm{lex}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$$

is an equivalence between  $\infty$ -categories of left exact left adjoint functors [3, Proposition 6.5.2.13] – to see this, observe that such a functor  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  preserves  $\infty$ -connective morphisms and therefore it sends  $\infty$ -connective morphisms in  $\mathcal{X}$  to equivalences in  $\mathcal{Y}$ .

**Remark 7.** If  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  is  $n$ -truncated, then  $X$  is hypercomplete. This follows easily from Example 4.

**Example 8.** The presentable  $\infty$ -category associated to the model category of simplicial presheaves with the local model structure (see [2] and [5]) is a hypercomplete  $\infty$ -topos. We recall that the weak equivalences in this model category are the morphisms of simplicial presheaves which induce isomorphisms between the sheaves of homotopy groups. See also [3, 6.5.2.14–6.5.2.15].

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be an  $\infty$ -topos,  $(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$  a small  $\infty$ -category equipped with a Grothendieck topology  $\tau$ , and let  $F: \mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a left exact localization. Suppose that for every monomorphism  $u$  in  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ , if  $F(u)$  is an equivalence in  $\mathcal{X}$ , then  $u$  is an equivalence in  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ . Then for every morphism  $u$  in  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ , if  $F(u)$  is an equivalence in  $\mathcal{X}$ , then  $u$  is  $\infty$ -connective in  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ .*

*Proof.* See [3, Proposition 6.5.2.16]. Let  $u: X \rightarrow Z$  be a morphism such that  $F(u)$  is an equivalence. There is a factorization

$$X \xrightarrow{p} U \xrightarrow{i} Z$$

where  $p$  is an effective epimorphism and  $i$  is a monomorphism ( $i$  is the  $(-1)$ -truncation of  $u$ ). It follows that  $F(i)$  is an effective epimorphism and therefore an equivalence. Hence  $i$  is an equivalence by assumption. This implies that  $u$  is an effective epimorphism.

Proceeding by induction, suppose that for every morphism  $u: X \rightarrow Z$  in  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$ , if  $F(u)$  is an equivalence, then  $u$  is  $(n-1)$ -connective. For such a morphism  $u$ , the morphism  $F(X \xrightarrow{\Delta(u)} X \times_Z X)$  is an equivalence, using that  $F$  is left exact. Then, by the inductive assumption,  $\Delta(u): X \rightarrow X \times_Z X$  is  $(n-1)$ -connective, and therefore  $u$  is  $n$ -connective. Thus,  $u$  is  $n$ -connective for every  $n \geq 0$ .  $\square$

Combined with the discussion in Section 1, Proposition 9 implies that every  $\infty$ -topos is obtained from an  $\infty$ -category of sheaves  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$  by a left exact accessible localization at a collection of  $\infty$ -connective morphisms – this is a **cotopological localization**. The maximal cotopological localization is the hypercompletion  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C})^\wedge$ . See [3, 6.5.2.17–6.5.2.20].

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small  $\infty$ -category. There is a bijective correspondence between Grothendieck topologies on  $\mathcal{C}$  and hypercomplete left exact accessible localizations of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ . This bijection sends  $\tau$  to the hypercomplete  $\infty$ -topos  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \tau)^\wedge$ .*

*Proof.* See [5, Theorem 3.8.3] for the analogous statement in the context of model categories. The inverse of  $\tau \mapsto \mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \tau)^\wedge$  is defined in the same way as in the case of the bijective correspondence between Grothendieck topologies on  $\mathcal{C}$  and topological localizations of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of the classification of topological localizations of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$  and of hypercomplete left exact accessible localizations of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$  in terms of Grothendieck topologies on  $\mathcal{C}$ , it follows that if  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$  is not hypercomplete, then  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \tau)^\wedge$  is not a topological localization of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ .

See also [4, Section 11] and [3, 6.5.4] for examples and a discussion of the difference between  $\mathrm{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \tau)$  and its hypercompletion.

## 5. HYPERCOVERINGS

While hypercomplete objects cannot be detected in general by the covering sieves of the Grothendieck topology, an important and useful characterization of hypercomplete object in  $\mathcal{X}$  is possible using the more general notion of a hypercovering. A simplicial object  $U_\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  is a **hypercovering** if, for every  $n \geq 0$ , the canonical morphism:

$$U_n \rightarrow (\mathrm{cosk}_{n-1}(U_\bullet))_n \simeq \lim_{k \leq n-1, [k] \rightarrow [n]} U_k$$

is an effective epimorphism. For example,  $U_0 \rightarrow 1$  ( $n = 0$ ) and  $U_1 \rightarrow U_0 \times U_0$  ( $n = 1$ ) must be effective epimorphisms. The intuition is that a hypercovering  $U_\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{X}/X$  is a generalization of the Čech nerve  $V_\bullet$  of an effective epimorphism  $V \rightarrow X$ , in which we are allowed to replace the degree  $n$  object  $V_n$  by the domain of an effective epimorphism  $U_n \rightarrow V_n$  (for example,  $U_n = \bigsqcup U_{n,i} \rightarrow V_n$  where  $U_{n,i} \rightarrow V_n$  generate a covering sieve on  $V_n$ ), and then continue with such refinements successively in each simplicial degree. See [1], [3, 6.5.3] and [4, 10.4].

**Example 11.** Let  $X$  be an  $\infty$ -connective object in  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then the constant simplicial object at  $X$  is a hypercovering. This is obvious when  $\mathcal{X}$  is hypercomplete. The general case can be reduced to this because the hypercompletion functor  $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^\wedge$  preserves and detects effective epimorphisms (cf. Proposition 9). See [3, Lemma 6.5.3.5].

A hypercovering  $U_\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{X}/X$  is **effective** if its colimit is a final object. For example, the Čech nerve of an effective epimorphism is an effective hypercovering. More generally,

**Example 12.** A simplicial object  $U_\bullet$  is  $n$ -coskeletal if it is a right Kan extension of its restriction to  $N(\Delta_{\leq n}^{\mathrm{op}})$ . An  $n$ -coskeletal hypercovering in  $\mathcal{X}/X$  is effective. See [3, Lemma 6.5.3.9].

**Proposition 13.** *An object in  $\mathcal{X}$  is hypercomplete if and only if it is local with respect to the collection  $S$  which contains the morphisms*

$$\mathrm{colim}_{N(\Delta^{\mathrm{op}})} U_\bullet \rightarrow X$$

for every  $X$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  and hypercovering  $U_\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{X}/X$ .

*Proof.* See [1, 5]. Let  $f: U \rightarrow X$  be an  $\infty$ -connective morphism and let  $U_\bullet$  denote the constant simplicial object in  $\mathcal{X}/X$  with value  $f$ . Using Example 11,  $U_\bullet$  is a hypercovering. Since  $f$  can be identified with the colimit of  $U_\bullet$ , the ‘if’ direction follows. For the converse, it suffices to prove that the colimit of a hypercovering  $U_\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{X}/X$  is  $n$ -connective for every  $n \geq 0$ . Note that the colimit of  $V_\bullet = \text{cosk}_n(U_\bullet)$  is effective (by Example 12), that is, its colimit is a final object. The canonical morphism  $U_\bullet \rightarrow V_\bullet$  is an equivalence in degrees  $\leq n$  and it follows that the induced morphism between the colimits is  $n$ -connective (see [3, Lemma 6.5.3.10]). See also [1, 5] for more details and stronger results in this direction.  $\square$

**Remark 14.** The last proof shows that every  $\infty$ -connective morphism  $\mathcal{X}$  is equivalent to a morphism in  $S$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{X}$  is hypercomplete if every hypercovering  $U_\bullet$  in  $\mathcal{X}/X$  is effective. The converse is also true. See [3, Theorem 6.5.3.12].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Daniel Dugger, Sharon Hollander, and Daniel C. Isaksen, *Hypercovers and simplicial presheaves*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 136 (2004), no. 1, 9–51.
- [2] J. F. Jardine, *Boolean localization, in practice*. Doc. Math. 1 (1996), No. 13, 245–275.
- [3] Jacob Lurie, *Higher topos theory*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, Vol. 170. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.  
Online revised version: [www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/highertopoi.pdf](http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/highertopoi.pdf)
- [4] Charles Rezk, *Toposes and homotopy toposes*. Preprint (2010).  
Available online: [www.math.uiuc.edu/~rezk/homotopy-topos-sketch.pdf](http://www.math.uiuc.edu/~rezk/homotopy-topos-sketch.pdf)
- [5] Bertrand Toën and Gabriele Vezzosi, *Homotopical algebraic geometry. I. Topos theory*. Adv. Math. 193 (2005), no. 2, 257–372.